arXiv:1505.03494v1 [math.AP] 13 May 2015

ON THE POINTWISE CONVERGENCE TO INITIAL DATA OF HEAT AND POISSON PROBLEMS FOR THE BESSEL OPERATOR

ISOLDA CARDOSO

Departamento de Matemática
Fac. de Cs. Exactas, Ingeniería y Agrimensura
Universidad Nacional de Rosario
Pellegrini 250, 2000 Rosario

Abstract. We find optimal integrability conditions on the initial data f for the existence of solutions $e^{-t\Delta_\lambda}f(x)$ and $e^{-t\sqrt{\Delta_\lambda}}f(x)$ of the heat and Poisson initial data problems for the Bessel operator Δ_λ in $\mathbb{R}^+$. We also characterize the most general class of weights v for which the solutions converge a.e. to f for every $f \in L^p(v)$, with $1 \leq p < \infty$. Finally, we show that for such weights and $1 < p < \infty$ the local maximal operators are bounded from $L^p(v)$ to $L^p(u)$, for some weight u.

1. Introduction

The starting point for us are the classical heat and Poisson equations in the upper halfplane. For a nonnegative, second order differential operator L we have the initial data problems

$$(\mathbf{h}) \qquad \begin{cases} u_t &= -Lu, \quad t \in (0,T), \\ u(0,\cdot) &= f(\cdot); \end{cases}$$

$$(\mathbf{P}) \qquad \begin{cases} u_{tt} &= Lu, \quad t \in (0,\infty), \\ u(0,\cdot) &= f(\cdot). \end{cases}$$

In several classic examples it is well known that under certain conditions on the initial data f, for example when L is the Laplacian on $\mathbb{R}^d$ and $f \in L^p$ (or even some weighted $L^p(v)$), the solutions to the problems **h** and **P** verify

$$\lim_{t\to 0^+} u(t,x) = f(x).$$

E-mail address: `isolda@fceia.unr.edu.ar` .

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 35E15, 35K05, 35J05, 42B37.

Key words and phrases. Pointwise convergence to initial data, Bessel operator, heat equation, Poisson equation.

The author is partially supported by SeCyT, Universidad Nacional de Rosario and a grant from Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET)..

Moreover, they can be described by the heat diffusion semigroup $u(t,x) = e^{-tL}f(x)$ and the Poisson semigroup $u(t,x) = e^{-t\sqrt{L}}f(x)$, respectively, with L being its infinitesimal generator. In order to obtain a pointwise convergence of the solutions to the initial data it is necessary to study the boundedness in L^p of the corresponding maximal operators (see for example [9]).

In the present notes we are interested in one particular differential operator: the Bessel operator in $\mathbb{R}^+$, namely Δ_λ, for $\lambda > -\frac{1}{2}$ as appears in the works of Muckenhoupt and Stein (see for example [8]).

Let us first consider the Bessel heat equation. We want to find optimal integrability conditions on the initial data f such that

(I) $u(t,x) = e^{-t\Delta_\lambda}f(x)$ exists for all $t, x > 0$ as an absolutely convergent integral, satisfies the Bessel heat equation and

(II) $\lim\limits_{t\to 0^+} u(t,x) = f(x)$ for a.e. $x > 0$.

The same is asked when we consider the Poisson equation for the Bessel operator, with $u(t,x) = e^{-t\sqrt{\Delta_\lambda}}f(x)$.

When L is the Laplacian in $\mathbb{R}^d$, Hartzstein, Torrea and Viviani in [6] characterized the class of weights $D_p^{heat}(L)$ and $D_p^{Poisson}(L)$ for which the corresponding solutions have limits almost everywhere, respectively, for functions in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^+, vdx)$. Also, this problem is solved when L is the Hermite or the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator in [4] and in [5] for the Laguerre operator.

Here, in the Bessel setting for the heat and Poisson initial data problems, we also try to answer the natural question: *Is there a weight class which characterizes convergence to initial data for functions in* $L^p(\mathbb{R}^+, vdx)$? This is, we want to find optimal conditions in a weight v such that (I) and (II) hold for all $f \in L^p(v)$.

The final question that we want to adress is the $L^p(w)$ boundness of the corresponding local maximal operators, namely

$$W_a^{\lambda,*}f(x) = \sup_{0<t<a} |e^{-tL}f(x)|,$$

$$P_a^{*}f(x) = \sup_{0<t<a} |e^{-t\sqrt{L}}f(x)|.$$

We want to show that for all weights v in the class $D_p^{heat}(\Delta_\lambda)$, the local maximal operator $W_a^{\lambda,*}$ maps $L^p(v)$ to $L^p(u)$, boundedly, for some weight u. Also, the analogous problem involving weights in $D_p^{Poisson}(\Delta_\lambda)$ and the local maximal operator $P_a^{\lambda,*}$ will be treated.
In the Bessel context sharp power- weighted L^p inequalities for the (global) heat and Poisson maximal operators are obtained in [1].

In order to study the above problems we will follow the techniques developed in [6], [4] and [5].

More precisely, our main results are the following:

Theorem 1.1. *Let* $\lambda \geq 0$, $T > 0$ *(possibly* $T = \infty$*) and let* $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}$ *be a measurable function such that*

$$\int_0^\infty \phi(y)|f(y)|dy < \infty, \tag{1.1}$$

where ϕ *is the integrability factor*

$$\phi(y) = \phi_t^\lambda(y) = y^\lambda \left(\frac{y}{y+1}\right)^\lambda e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t}}, \qquad t \in (0,T),$$

for problem ***(h)*** *or*

$$\phi(y) = \phi^\lambda(y) = \frac{y^{2\lambda}}{(y^2+1)^{\lambda+1}}$$

for problem ***(P)****. Then the heat or Poisson integral* $u(t,x)$ *defines, respectively, an absolutely convergent integral such that*

(i) $u \in C^\infty((0,T) \times \mathbb{R}^+)$ *and satisfies the heat or Poisson equation for the Bessel operator* Δ_λ*, and*

(ii) $\lim_{t \to 0^+} u(t,x) = f(x)$ *for a.e.* $x > 0$.

Conversely, if a nonnegative function f *satisfies that its heat integral for* $t \in (0,T)$ *and some* $x > 0$ *or its Poisson integral is finite for some* $t \in (0,\infty)$ *and some* $x > 0$ *then* f *must satisfy condition 1.1.*

From Theorem 1.1 we see that the conditions on initial data f for the existence of solutions cover a wide class of functions. For example, $f(y) = P(y)e^{\frac{1}{4T}y^2}$ for $y > 1$ with P any polynomial and $f(y) = y^{-2\lambda-\epsilon}$ if $y \leq 1$ and $0 < \epsilon < 1$, is good enough to grant existence of solutions to Problem **(h)**, and $f(y) = \frac{y}{\log^\beta(y+e)}$ for $y > 1, \beta > 1$ and $f(y) = y^{-2\lambda-\epsilon}$ if $y \leq 1$ with $0 < \epsilon < 1$, is admissible for the existence of the solution of Problem **(P)** with $L = \triangle_\lambda$.

The classes

$$D_p^{heat}(\Delta_\lambda) \text{ and } D_p^{Poisson}(\Delta_\lambda) \tag{1.2}$$

consist of all the weights $v : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ such that properties (i) and (ii) from Theorem 1.1 hold for every function f in the weighted space $L^p(\mathbb{R}^+, v)$.

From the theorem above we deduce a characterization of the classes $D_p^{heat}(\Delta_\lambda)$ and $D_p^{Poisson}(\Delta_\lambda)$.

Corollary 1.2. *Let* $1 \leq p < \infty$, *let* $T > 0$ *(possibly* $T = \infty$*) and* ϕ_t^λ *as in Theorem 1.1. A weight* v *belongs to the class* $D_p^{heat}(\Delta_\lambda)$ *if and only if* $v^{-\frac{1}{p}}\phi_t^\lambda \in L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^+)$, *and belongs to the class* $D_p^{Poisson}(\Delta_\lambda)$ *if and only if* $v^{-\frac{1}{p}}\phi^\lambda \in L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^+)$.

Just like in [6], we have that the weight classes are related by inclusion: $D_p^{Poisson}(\Delta_\lambda) \subsetneq D_p^{heat}(\Delta_\lambda)$. Indeed, let us consider a weight v in the class

$D_p^{Poisson}(\Delta_\lambda)$. We have that $\phi_t^\lambda(y) = (y^2+1)^{\frac{\lambda}{2}+1} e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t}} \phi^\lambda(y) \leq c_t \phi^\lambda(y)$, hence $||v^{-\frac{1}{p}} \phi_t^\lambda||_{p'} < \infty$. Also, the weight defined by $v(y) = e^{-\frac{y^2}{4T}p}$for $y > 1$ and $v(y) = y^{2\lambda+\epsilon}$ if $y \leq 1$ and $\epsilon < \frac{1}{p'}$, belongs to $D_p^{heat}(\Delta_\lambda)$ and doesn't belong to $D_p^{Poisson}(\Delta_\lambda)$.

Theorem 1.3. *Let* $1 < p < \infty$, $T > 0$ *(possibly* $T = \infty$*) and* $\lambda \geq 0$.

(i) If $v \in D_p^{heat}(\Delta_\lambda)$ *then, for every* $a \in (0, T)$ *there exists a weight* $u = u_a$ *such that*

$$W_a^{\lambda,*} : L^p(v) \to L^p(u) \qquad \textit{boundedly.} \tag{1.3}$$

Moreover, there exists $\sigma_0 = \sigma_0(a, T) \in (0, 1)$ *such that for any* $\sigma \leq \sigma_0$ *the weight* u *can be chosen such that also* $u^\sigma \in D_p^{heat}(\Delta_\lambda)$. *(In the case that* $T = \infty$ *we can choose* u *such that* $u^\sigma \in D_p^{heat}(\Delta_\lambda)$ *for all* $\sigma < 1$*).*

Conversely, if 1.3 holds for some weight $u = u_a$ *and each* $a \in (0, T)$, *then* $v \in D_p^{heat}(\Delta_\lambda)$.

(ii) If $v \in D_p^{Poisson}(\Delta_\lambda)$ *then, for every* $a > 0$ *there exists a weight* $u = u_a$ *such that*

$$P_a^{\lambda,*} : L^p(v) \to L^p(u) \qquad \textit{boundedly.} \tag{1.4}$$

If $\sigma < 1$ *we can find* u *such that* $u^\sigma \in D_p^{Poisson}(\Delta_\lambda)$.

Conversely, if 1.4 holds for some $a > 0$ *and same weight* $u = u_a$, *then* $v \in D_p^{Poisson}(\Delta_\lambda)$.

In section 2 we state all we need to recall about the Bessel operator for explicit computations. On sections 3 and 4 we study the problems stated above and prove Theorem 1.1, Corollary 1.2 and Theorem 1.3 for the heat and Poisson problems associated to the Bessel operator, respectively.

2. Preliminaries

Let us consider the Bessel operator as appears in [8]:

$$\Delta_\lambda = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - \frac{2\lambda}{x}\frac{d}{dx}, \tag{2.1}$$

for $\lambda > -\frac{1}{2}$, which is essentially self-adjoint in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^+, d\mu_\lambda)$, where $\mathbb{R}^+ = (0, \infty)$ and $d\mu_\lambda(x) = x^{2\lambda} dx$, $x > 0$.

Let us also consider the heat equation with initial data problem for the Bessel operator

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rcl} u_t &=& -\Delta_\lambda u, \quad t > 0, \\ u(0, \cdot) &=& f(\cdot); \end{array} \right. \tag{2.2}$$

and the Poisson equation with initial data problem for the Bessel operator

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rcl} u_{tt} &=& \Delta_\lambda u, \quad t > 0, \\ u(0, \cdot) &=& f(\cdot); \end{array} \right. \tag{2.3}$$

The standard set of eigenfunctions of the Bessel operator consists of

$$\varphi_z^\lambda(x) = (zx)^{-\lambda+\frac{1}{2}}\mathcal{J}_{\lambda-\frac{1}{2}}(zx), \tag{2.4}$$

where $x, z > 0$ and $\mathcal{J}_\nu$ is the Bessel function of the first kind and order $\nu > -1$. Indeed, for $\lambda > -\frac{1}{2}$,

$$\Delta_\lambda \varphi_z^\lambda = z^2 \varphi_z^\lambda, \tag{2.5}$$

for $z > 0$.

The heat kernel associated to Δ_λ is

$$W_t^\lambda(x, y) = \int_0^\infty e^{-z^2 t}\varphi_z^\lambda(x)\varphi_z^\lambda(y)d\mu_\lambda(z), \tag{2.6}$$

for $t, x, y > 0$. Explicitly, the heat kernel is given by

$$W_t^\lambda(x, y) = \frac{(xy)^{-\lambda+\frac{1}{2}}}{2t} e^{-\frac{(x^2+y^2)}{4t}} \mathcal{I}_{\lambda-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{xy}{2t}\right), \tag{2.7}$$

for $t, x, y > 0$, where $\mathcal{I}_\nu$ is the modified Bessel function of the first kind and order $\nu > -1$. This function verifies (see [7])

$$\mathcal{I}_\nu(z) \sim z^\nu, \qquad \text{if } z < 1, \tag{2.8}$$

$$\mathcal{I}_\nu(z) \sim e^z z^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \qquad \text{if } z > 1. \tag{2.9}$$

For a function f, its Bessel heat diffusion integral is

$$W_t^\lambda f(x) = \int_0^\infty W_t^\lambda(x, y) f(y) d\mu(y), \tag{2.10}$$

for $t, x > 0$; and its local maximal operator is defined for $a > 0$ by

$$W_a^{\lambda,*} f(x) = \sup_{0<t<a} |W_t^\lambda f(x)|, \tag{2.11}$$

for $x > 0$.

The Poisson kernel associated to Δ_λ is

$$P_t^\lambda(x, y) = \int_0^\infty e^{-zt}\varphi_z^\lambda(x)\varphi_z^\lambda(y)d\mu_\lambda(z), \tag{2.12}$$

for $t, x, y > 0$. By the subordination formula (see for example [9]) we have that

$$P_t^\lambda(x, y) = \frac{t}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{t^2}{4u}} W_t^\lambda(x, y) \frac{du}{u^{\frac{3}{2}}}. \tag{2.13}$$

Explicitly, the Poisson kernel has the following expression (see Section 6 of [1]), in terms of ordinary hypergeometric ${}_2F_1$ functions:

$$\begin{aligned} P_t^\lambda(x,y) =& 2\pi^{-\frac{1}{2}}\frac{\Gamma(\lambda+1)}{\Gamma(\lambda+\frac{1}{2})}\frac{t}{(x^2+y^2+t^2)^{\lambda+1}}\times \\ & \times {}_2F_1\left(\frac{\lambda+1}{2};\frac{\lambda+2}{2};\frac{2\lambda+1}{2};\left(\frac{2xy}{x^2+y^2+t^2}\right)^2\right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

For a function f, its Bessel Poisson integral is

$$P_t^\lambda f(x) = \int\limits_0^\infty P_t^\lambda(x,y)f(y)d\mu(y), \tag{2.15}$$

for $t,x>0$; and its local maximal operator is defined for $a>0$ by

$$P_a^{\lambda,*}f(x) = \sup_{0<t<a}|P_t^\lambda f(x)|. \tag{2.16}$$

3. Conditions on data f for almost everywhere convergence for the Bessel heat equation

In this section we focus on the initial data problem 2.2. In order to do computations we will use the following expressions for the Bessel heat kernel: from 2.7 and properties 2.8 and 2.9 we can write

$$x^{2\lambda}W_t^\lambda(x,y)y^{2\lambda}\chi_{\{x,y>0:xy\leq 2t\}}(x,y) \sim \frac{(xy)^{2\lambda}}{t^{\lambda+\frac{1}{2}}}e^{-\frac{(x^2+y^2)}{4t}}\chi_{\{x,y>0:xy\leq 2t\}}(x,y), \tag{3.1}$$

$$x^{2\lambda}W_t^\lambda(x,y)y^{2\lambda}\chi_{\{x,y>0:xy>2t\}}(x,y) \sim \frac{(xy)^{\lambda}}{(2t)^{\frac{1}{2}}}e^{-\frac{(x-y)^2}{4t}}\chi_{\{x,y>0:xy>2t\}}(x,y). \tag{3.2}$$

for $x>0$ and $y>0$.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 in the heat context follows from the next three propositions. Let us begin by stating necessary and sufficient conditions on the initial data f for the existence of $e^{-t\Delta_\lambda}$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $T>0$ fixed (possibly $T=\infty$) and $\lambda>-\frac{1}{2}$. For a measurable function $f:\mathbb{R}^+\to\mathbb{R}$, the following statements are equivalent*

(i) $\int\limits_0^\infty W_t^\lambda(x,y)|f(y)|d\mu_\lambda(y)<\infty$, *for all $t\in(0,T)$ and $x>0$;*

(ii) $\int\limits_0^\infty W_t^\lambda(x_t,y)|f(y)|d\mu_\lambda(y)<\infty$, *for all $t\in(0,T)$ and some $x_t>0$;*

(iii) $\int\limits_0^\infty \phi_t^\lambda(y)|f(y)|dy<\infty$, *for all $t\in(0,T)$, where*

$$\phi_t^\lambda(y) = y^\lambda\left(\frac{y}{y+1}\right)^\lambda e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t}}.$$

Proof. That (i) implies (ii) is trivial. Let us prove that (ii) implies (iii). Pick $t_0 < T$ and $x_0 > 0$ such that (ii) holds:

$$\int_0^\infty x_0^{2\lambda} W_{t_0}^\lambda(x_0, y) f(y) y^{2\lambda} dy < \infty.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\infty > \int_0^\infty x_0^{2\lambda} W_{t_0}^\lambda(x_0, y) f(y) y^{2\lambda} dy \sim & \int\limits_{\{y>0: x_0 y \le 2t_0\}} \frac{(x_0 y)^{2\lambda}}{t_0^{\lambda+\frac{1}{2}}} e^{-\frac{(x_0^2+y^2)}{4t_0}} f(y) dy \\ + & \int\limits_{\{y>0: x_0 y > 2t_0\}} \frac{(x_0 y)^{\lambda}}{(2t_0)^{\frac{1}{2}}} e^{-\frac{(x_0-y)^2}{4t_0}} f(y) dy \\ = & e^{-\frac{x_0^2}{4t_0}} \frac{(x_0)^{2\lambda}}{t_0^{\lambda+\frac{1}{2}}} \int\limits_{\{y>0: x_0 y \le 2t_0\}} y^{2\lambda} e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t_0}} f(y) dy \\ & + \frac{x_0^\lambda}{(2t_0)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int\limits_{\{y>0: x_0 y > 2t_0\}} y^\lambda e^{-\frac{(x_0-y)^2}{4t_0}} f(y) dy = I_1 + I_2.\end{aligned}$$

For $\lambda \ge 0$ we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}\infty > & I_1 \ge c(x_0, t_0, \lambda) \int\limits_{\{y>0: x_0 y \le 2t_0\}} \left(\frac{1+y}{1+y}\right)^\lambda y^{2\lambda} e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t_0}} f(y) dy \\ \ge & c(x_0, t_0, \lambda) \int\limits_{\{y>0: x_0 y \le 2t_0\}} \left(\frac{y}{1+y}\right)^\lambda y^\lambda e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t_0}} f(y) dy.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, clearly

$$\begin{aligned}\infty > I_2 \ge & c(x_0, t_0, \lambda) \int\limits_{\{y>0: x_0 y > 2t_0\}} y^\lambda e^{-\frac{(x_0-y)^2}{4t_0}} f(y) dy \\ \ge & c(x_0, t_0, \lambda) \int\limits_{\{y>0: x_0 y > 2t_0\}} \left(\frac{y}{y+1}\right)^\lambda y^\lambda e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t_0}} f(y) dy,\end{aligned}$$

since $e^{\frac{x_0 y}{2t_0}} > e$. For $-\frac{1}{2} < \lambda < 0$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\infty > I_1 \geq & c(x_0,t_0,\lambda) \int\limits_{\{y>0: x_0 y \leq 2t_0\}} \left(\frac{1}{y}\right)^{-\lambda} y^{\lambda} e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t_0}} f(y)dy \\
= & c(x_0,t_0,\lambda) \int\limits_{\{y>0: x_0 y \leq 2t_0\}} \left(\frac{1+\frac{2t_0}{x_0}}{1+\frac{2t_0}{x_0}}\right)^{-\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{y}\right)^{-\lambda} y^{\lambda} e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t_0}} f(y)dy \\
\geq & c(x_0,t_0,\lambda) \int\limits_{\{y>0: x_0 y \leq 2t_0\}} \left(\frac{1+y}{1+\frac{2t_0}{x_0}}\right)^{-\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{y}\right)^{-\lambda} y^{\lambda} e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t_0}} f(y)dy \\
\geq & c(x_0,t_0,\lambda) \int\limits_{\{y>0: x_0 y \leq 2t_0\}} \left(\frac{y}{1+y}\right)^{\lambda} y^{\lambda} e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t_0}} f(y)dy.
\end{aligned}$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned}
\infty > I_2 \geq & c(x_0,t_0,\lambda) \int\limits_{\{y>0: x_0 y > 2t_0\}} y^{\lambda} e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t_0}} f(y)dy \\
\geq & c(x_0,t_0,\lambda) \int\limits_{\{y>0: x_0 y > 2t_0\}} \left(\frac{x_0}{2t_0}+1\right)^{-\lambda} y^{\lambda} e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t_0}} f(y)dy \\
\geq & c(x_0,t_0,\lambda) \int\limits_{\{y>0: x_0 y > 2t_0\}} \left(\frac{1}{y}+1\right)^{-\lambda} y^{\lambda} e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t_0}} f(y)dy \\
\geq & c(x_0,t_0,\lambda) \int\limits_{\{y>0: x_0 y > 2t_0\}} \left(\frac{y}{1+y}\right)^{\lambda} y^{\lambda} e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t_0}} f(y)dy.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we cover all cases and thus (iii) holds for all $t > 0$.

Finally, let us show that (iii) implies (i). Pick $0 < t < T$ and $x > 0$. Splitting as before

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^{\infty} x^{2\lambda} W_t^{\lambda}(x,y) f(y) y^{2\lambda} dy \sim \\
&\qquad \sim c(x,t,\lambda) \int\limits_{\{y>0: xy<2t\}} y^{2\lambda} e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t}} f(y)dy \\
&\qquad\quad + c(x,t,\lambda) \int\limits_{\{y>0: xy>2t\}} y^{\lambda} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^2}{4t}} f(y)dy \quad = \quad I_1 + I_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Let us see first that I_1 is finite. Indeed, if $\lambda \geq 0$ it is inmediate that

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 =&c(x,t,\lambda) \int\limits_{\{y>0: xy\leq 2t\}} \left(\frac{1+y}{1+y}\right)^{\lambda} y^{2\lambda} e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t}} f(y)dy \\
\leq &c(x,t,\lambda) \int\limits_{\{y>0: xy\leq 2t\}} \left(\frac{1+\frac{2t}{x}}{1+y}\right)^{\lambda} y^{2\lambda} e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t}} f(y)dy \\
\leq &c(x,t,\lambda) \int\limits_{\{y>0: xy\leq 2t\}} \left(\frac{y}{1+y}\right)^{\lambda} y^{\lambda} e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t}} f(y)dy.
\end{aligned}$$

Also, if $-\frac{1}{2} < \lambda < 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 \leq &c(x,t,\lambda) \int\limits_{\{y>0: xy\leq 2t\}} (1+y)^{-\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{y}\right)^{-\lambda} y^{\lambda} e^{\frac{-y^2}{4t}} f(y)dy \\
= &c(x,t,\lambda) \int\limits_{\{y>0: xy\leq 2t\}} \left(\frac{y}{1+y}\right)^{\lambda} y^{\lambda} e^{\frac{-y^2}{4t}} f(y)dy.
\end{aligned}$$

As for the finitude of I_2, let us recall estimate (3.4) from [4]: for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, $t > 0$, $M > 1$ we have that

$$\frac{1}{c} e^{-\frac{|y|^2}{4t}\left(\frac{M+1}{M}\right)^2} \leq e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4t}} \leq c e^{-\frac{|y|^2}{4t}\left(\frac{M-1}{M}\right)^2}, \tag{3.3}$$

where $c = c(x,t,M)$

Now if $\lambda \geq 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2 =&c(x,t,\lambda) \int\limits_{\{y>0: xy> 2t\}} y^{\lambda} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^2}{4t}} f(y)dy \\
\leq &c(x,t,\lambda,M) \int\limits_{\{y>0: xy> 2t\}} \left(1+\frac{x}{2t}\right)^{\lambda} \left(1+\frac{x}{2t}\right)^{-\lambda} y^{\lambda} e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t}\left(\frac{M-1}{M}\right)^2} f(y)dy \\
\leq &c(x,t,\lambda,M) \int\limits_{\{y>0: xy> 2t\}} \left(1+\frac{1}{y}\right)^{-\lambda} y^{\lambda} e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t}\left(\frac{M-1}{M}\right)^2} f(y)dy.
\end{aligned}$$

Choosing M big enough such that $t < t_0 := t\left(\frac{M}{M-1}\right)^2 < T$ and using (iii) we get that I_2 is finite. For $-\frac{1}{2} < \lambda < 0$ we proceed as above, but this time

I_2 is bounded as follows

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 \leq & c(x,t,\lambda,M) \int\limits_{\{y>0: xy>2t\}} \left(\frac{1+y}{1+y}\right)^{-\lambda} y^{\lambda} e^{-\frac{|y|^2}{4t_0}} f(y)dy \\ < & c(x,t,\lambda,M) \int\limits_{\{y>0: xy>2t\}} \left(1+\frac{1}{y}\right)^{-\lambda} y^{\lambda} e^{-\frac{|y|^2}{4t_0}} f(y)dy < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 3.2. *Let f be a function satisfying the conditions in Proposition 3.1, and $\lambda \geq 0$, then*

$$u(t,x) = \int\limits_0^{\infty} W_t^{\lambda}(x,y) f(y) d\mu_{\lambda}(y) \in C^{\infty}((0,T)\times \mathbb{R}^+). \tag{3.4}$$

Proof. We need to prove

$$\int\limits_0^{\infty} |\partial_t^{\alpha}\partial_x^{\beta} W_t^{\lambda}(x,y)| f(y) d\mu_{\lambda}(y) < \infty$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$. Since the kernel $W_t^{\lambda}(x,y)$ satisfies the Bessel heat equation, we may only check the finitude of the integral for the derivatives on the t variable:

$$\int\limits_0^{\infty} |\partial_t^{\alpha} W_t^{\lambda}(x,y)| f(y) d\mu_{\lambda}(y) < \infty. \tag{3.5}$$

Thus we need to compute $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} W_t^{\lambda}(x,y)$, and in order to do this let us recall that (see for example [7])

$$\frac{d}{dz}\left(z^{-\nu}\mathcal{I}_{\nu}(z)\right) = z^{-\nu}\mathcal{I}_{\nu+1}(z). \tag{3.6}$$

Keeping this formula in mind we rewrite the kernel in (2.7) as follows:

$$W_t^{\lambda}(x,y) = \frac{1}{(2t)^{\lambda+\frac{1}{2}}} e^{-\frac{x^2+y^2}{4t}} \left[\left(\frac{xy}{2t}\right)^{-(\lambda-\frac{1}{2})} \mathcal{I}_{\lambda-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{xy}{2t}\right)\right]. \tag{3.7}$$

Then we have that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} W_t^{\lambda}(x,y) = \left[-2\frac{\lambda+\frac{1}{2}}{2t} + \left(\frac{x^2+y^2}{4t^2}\right)\right] W_t^{\lambda}(x,y) + \left(-\frac{(xy)^2}{2t^2}\right) W_t^{\lambda+1}(x,y),$$

from where

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{\partial}{\partial t} W_t^\lambda(x,y) f(y) d\mu(y) = \int_0^\infty \left(-2\frac{\lambda+\frac{1}{2}}{2t} + \frac{x^2+y^2}{4t^2} \right) W_t^\lambda(x,y) f(y) d\mu(y)$$
$$+ \int_0^\infty -\frac{(xy)^2}{2t^2} W_t^{\lambda+1}(x,y) f(y) d\mu(y) = I_1 + I_2.$$

Let us first observe the integrand in I_2:

$$-\frac{(xy)^2}{2t^2} W_t^{\lambda+1}(x,y) y^{2\lambda} = -\frac{1}{2t^2 x^{2\lambda}} W_t^{\lambda+1}(x,y)(xy)^{2(\lambda+1)}.$$

Thus if we split I_2 as usual and apply estimates 3.1 and 3.2, we obtain

$$I_2 \sim \int_{\{y>0: xy \leq 2t\}} -\frac{(xy)^2}{2t^2} \frac{1}{2t} W_t^\lambda(x,y) f(y) d\mu(y)$$
$$+ \int_{\{y>0: xy > 2t\}} -\frac{xy}{2t^2} W_t^\lambda(x,y) f(y) d\mu(y).$$

Now, from this expression and I_1 we observe that (3.5) follows if $y^\alpha f(y)$ for $\alpha \geq 1$ satisfies the conditions of proposition 3.1, which clearly does. □

Proposition 3.3. *If f satisfies the conditions in Proposition 3.1, then*

$$\lim_{t\to 0^+} e^{-t\Delta_\lambda} f(x) = f(x), \qquad a.e.\ x > 0. \tag{3.8}$$

Proof. Let us see first that for every $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}\mathbb{N}$, 3.8 holds for a.e. $0 < x \leq n_0$. Let us split

$$f = f\chi_{|y|\leq M} + f\chi_{|y|>M} = f_1 + f_2,$$

where M is to be chosen later.

We have that, if $M > 2n_0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{-t\Delta_\lambda} f_2(x) = & \int\limits_{|y|>M} W_t^\lambda(x,y) f(y) d\mu(y) \\
\sim & \int\limits_{|y|>M} \frac{y^{2\lambda}}{t^{\lambda+\frac{1}{2}}} e^{\frac{-(x^2+y^2)}{4t}} \chi_{xy\le 2t}(y) f(y) dy \\
& + \int\limits_{|y|>M} \frac{y^\lambda}{x^\lambda (2t)^{\frac{1}{2}}} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^2}{4t}} \chi_{xy>2t}(y) f(y) dy \\
\le & c(\lambda) \int\limits_{|y|>M} \left(\frac{y^2}{4t}\right)^\lambda e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{4t}} \frac{1}{t^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{y^\lambda}{(2n_0)^\lambda} \chi_{xy\le 2t}(y) f(y) dy \\
& + \int\limits_{|y|>M} \frac{y^\lambda}{x^\lambda (2t)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \chi_{xy>2t}(y) e^{\frac{-(\frac{y}{2})^2}{4t}} f(y) dy,
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the facts that $y > 2n_0$ and $|x-y| > \frac{y}{2}$ for $y > 2x$, respectively in each term of the sum. Since $\left(\frac{y^2}{ct}\right)^\alpha e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t}} \le c(\lambda) e^{-\frac{(\frac{y}{2})^2}{ct}}$ for $c, \alpha > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{-t\Delta_\lambda} f_2(x) \le & c(n_0,\lambda) \int\limits_{|y|>M} \frac{y^\lambda}{(2t)^{\frac{1}{2}}} e^{\frac{-y^2}{16t}} f(y) dy \\
\le & c(n_0,\lambda) \int\limits_{|y|>M} y^{\lambda-1} e^{\frac{-(\frac{y}{2})^2}{16t}} f(y) dy \le c(n_0,\lambda) \int\limits_{|y|>M} y^\lambda e^{\frac{-y^2}{32t}} f(y) dy.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, choosing t_0 such that $t < t_0/8 < T$ and taking into account that $\left(\frac{1+M}{M}\right)^\lambda \left(\frac{y}{y+1}\right)^\lambda \ge 1$ for $|y| > M > 1$, we have

$$e^{-t\Delta_\lambda} f_2(x) \le c(n_0,\lambda,M) \int\limits_{|y|>M} \left(\frac{y}{y+1}\right)^\lambda y^\lambda e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t_0}} f(y) dy.$$

Hence, from Proposition 3.1, given $\epsilon > 0$ there exist $M_0(\epsilon, n_0) > 0$ large enough and $t_0 > 0$ such that

$$e^{-t\Delta_\lambda} f_2(x) < \epsilon \qquad \text{for every } M > M_0, \quad t < t_0 \ \text{ and } \ 0 < x \le n_0.$$

On the other hand, since $e^{-t\Delta_\lambda}$ defines a symmetric diffusion semigroup as in [9] and $f_1 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^+, d\mu)$,

$$\lim_{t\to 0^+} e^{-t\Delta_\lambda} f_1(x) = f_1(x)$$

for almost every $|x| \le n_0$, 3.8 holds. □

Thus Theorem 1.1 follows. Next we give the proof for Corollary 1.2.

Proof. If $v^{-\frac{1}{p}}\phi_t^\lambda \in L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ and $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^+, v)$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\int\limits_0^\infty \phi_t^\lambda(y)f(y)dy &= \int\limits_0^\infty v^{-\frac{1}{p}}(y)\phi_t^\lambda(y)f(y)v^{\frac{1}{p}}(y)dy\\ &\leq \left(\int\limits_0^\infty \left(v^{-\frac{1}{p}}(y)\phi_t^\lambda(y)\right)^{p'} dy\right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \left(\int\limits_0^\infty f^p(y)v(y)dy\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty.\end{aligned}$$

The converse follows by a duality argument, the Landau Theorem. See for example [2]. □

Now we give an answer to the last question, that is the boundedness of the local maximal operator on weighted $L^p(v)$, where v is a weight in the class $D_p^{heat}(\Delta_\lambda)$. First let us observe the integrability factor's behaviour:
(3.9)

$$\phi_t^\lambda(y) = y^\lambda\left(\frac{y}{y+1}\right)^\lambda e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t}} = \frac{y^{2\lambda}}{(y+1)^\lambda}e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t}} \sim \begin{cases} y^{2\lambda}e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t}}, & \text{if } y \leq 1;\\ y^\lambda e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t}}, & \text{if } y > 1.\end{cases}$$

Next, we need an auxiliary estimate, which will use the following notation:

$$\begin{aligned} a \vee b &= \max\{a,b\},\\ a \wedge b &= \min\{a,b\}.\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.4. *For $\lambda > 0$, $x, y > 0$, $T > t > 0$ and $M > 1$, the following estimate holds:*

(3.10)

$$\begin{aligned} y^{2\lambda}W_t^\lambda(x,y) \leq & c(\lambda, M)\left((x\wedge 1)^{-2\lambda}W_{C_M t}^\triangle(x-y)\chi_{\{y\leq M(x\vee 1)\}}\frac{y^{2\lambda}}{(y+1)^\lambda}\right.\\ & \left. + (x\wedge 1)^{-\lambda}\phi_{c_M t}^\lambda(y)\right),\end{aligned}$$

where $W_t^\triangle(x) = \frac{e^{-\frac{x^2}{4t}}}{(\pi t)^{\frac{1}{2}}}$ is the classical Laplace heat kernel and $C_M \downarrow 1$ as $M \downarrow 1$.

Proof. From 3.1 and 3.2 it follows that

$$y^{2\lambda}W_t^\lambda(x,y) \sim \frac{y^{2\lambda}}{t^{\lambda+\frac{1}{2}}}e^{-\frac{x^2+y^2}{4t}}\chi_{\{xy\leq 2t\}}(y) + \frac{y^\lambda}{x^\lambda}\frac{e^{-\frac{(x-y)^2}{4t}}}{(2t)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\chi_{\{xy>2t\}}(y) = A + B.$$

Let us estimate A first. Suppose that $y < 1$. In this case we have that

$$\begin{aligned} A \leq & c(\lambda)\frac{y^{2\lambda}}{x^{2\lambda}}\frac{x^{2\lambda}}{t^{\lambda}}e^{-\frac{x^2}{4Mt}}\frac{1}{(2t)^{\frac{1}{2}}}e^{-(1-\frac{1}{M})\frac{(x^2+y^2)}{4t}} \leq c(\lambda, M)\frac{y^{2\lambda}}{x^{2\lambda}}\frac{e^{-\frac{(x-y)^2}{C_M 4t}}}{(2t)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\ \leq & c(\lambda, M)\frac{y^{2\lambda}}{(x\wedge 1)^{2\lambda}}W^{\triangle}_{C_M t}(x-y). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if $y > 1$, since $xy \leq 2t$, we obtain that

$$A \leq c(\lambda)\frac{y^{2\lambda}}{(xy)^{\lambda}}\frac{1}{(2t)^{\frac{1}{2}}}e^{-\frac{(x^2+y^2)}{4t}} \leq c(\lambda)\left(\frac{y\vee 1}{x\wedge 1}\right)^{\lambda}W^{\triangle}_{t}(x-y). \tag{3.11}$$

To estimate B, assume first that $y > 1$, thus

$$B \leq c(\lambda)\left(\frac{y\vee 1}{x\wedge 1}\right)^{\lambda}W^{\triangle}_{t}(x-y). \tag{3.12}$$

If $y \leq 1$ and $2y \leq x$, then $x - y \geq \frac{x}{2}$. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} B \leq & c(\lambda)\left(\frac{y}{x}\right)^{\lambda}\frac{1}{(2t)^{\frac{1}{2}}}e^{-\frac{1}{M}\frac{(x-y)^2}{4t}}e^{-(1-\frac{1}{M})\frac{(x-y)^2}{4t}} \\ \leq & c(\lambda)\left(\frac{y}{x}\right)^{\lambda}\left(\frac{t}{x^2}\right)^{\lambda}\left(\frac{x^2}{t}\right)^{\lambda}e^{-\frac{1}{M}\frac{x^2}{16t}}\frac{1}{(2t)^{\frac{1}{2}}}e^{-\frac{(x-y)^2}{4C_M t}} \\ \leq & c(\lambda, M)\left(\frac{y}{x}\right)^{\lambda}\left(\frac{t}{x^2}\right)^{\lambda}W^{\triangle}_{C_M t}(x-y) \\ \leq & c(\lambda, M)\left(\frac{y\wedge 1}{x\wedge 1}\right)^{2\lambda}W^{\triangle}_{C_M t}(x-y). \end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we use that $xy > 2t$. Now, If $y \leq 1$ and $2y > x$, we clearly have that

$$B \leq c(\lambda)\left(\frac{y\wedge 1}{x\wedge 1}\right)^{2\lambda}W^{\triangle}_{t}(x-y).$$

Hence, collecting the above inequalities, we get that

$$y^{2\lambda}W^{\lambda}_{t}(x,y) \leq c(\lambda, M)\frac{1}{(x\wedge 1)^{2\lambda}}W^{\triangle}_{C_M t}(x-y)\frac{y^{2\lambda}}{(y+1)^{\lambda}}.$$

Finally, note that if $y > M(x \vee 1) > 1$, then $y - x > y(\frac{M-1}{M})$. Hence, from 3.11 and 3.12, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} y^{2\lambda} W_t^{\lambda}(x,y) \leq & c(\lambda)(x \wedge 1)^{-\lambda} \quad W_t^{\triangle}(x-y) \frac{y^{2\lambda}}{(y+1)^{\lambda}} \\ \leq & c(\lambda)(x \wedge 1)^{-\lambda} \frac{1}{(2t)^{\frac{1}{2}}} e^{-\frac{(M-1)^2 y^2}{4tM^2}} \frac{y^{2\lambda}}{(y+1)^{\lambda}} \\ \leq & c(\lambda)(x \wedge 1)^{-\lambda} (2t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{4tM}} e^{-(\frac{M-1}{M})^3 \frac{y^2}{4t}} \frac{y^{2\lambda}}{(y+1)^{\lambda}} \\ \leq & c(\lambda, M)(x \wedge 1)^{-\lambda} \phi^{\lambda}_{c_M t}(y), \end{aligned}$$

which finishes the proof. □

Theorem 3.5. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $R > 1$. Let v be a weight such that $v^{-\frac{1}{p}} \in L^{p'}_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$. Then there exists a weight u such that the local maximal operator defined as*

$$\mathcal{M}_R^{loc} f(x) = \sup_{x \in B_r} \int_{B_r(x)} f(y) \chi_{|y|<Rx}(y) dy \tag{3.13}$$

is bounded from $L^p(v)$ to $L^p(u)$. Moreover,

(i) *If $||v^{-\frac{1}{p}} e^{-A|y|^2}||_{p'} < \infty$ for all $A > A_0$, where $A_0 \geq 0$ is fixed, then for every $\sigma < 1$ we can find a weight u such that also*

$$||u^{-\frac{\sigma}{p}} e^{-Ay^2}||_{p'} < \infty \tag{3.14}$$

for all $A > A_0 \sigma R^2$. In particular, if $A_0 = 0$ or $\sigma < \frac{1}{R^2}$ then 3.14 holds for all $A > A_0$.

(ii) *If we define $\|v\|_{D_p} = ||v^{-\frac{1}{p}} (y \vee 1)^{-2}||_{p'} < \infty$, then for every $\sigma < 1$ we can find a weight u such that also*

$$||u^{-\frac{\sigma}{p}} (y \vee 1)^{-2}||_{p'} < \infty. \tag{3.15}$$

Proof. The proof of item (i) is contained in Theorem 2.1 of [4]. We only check (3.15). In order to do this, we recall the estimates and the constants defined in that paper. By the factorization Theorem of Rubio de Francia (see [3, Thm. VI.4.2]), we can assure the existence of some weight U_k, supported in a interval E_k, such that $\|U_k^{-1}\|_{L^{\frac{s}{p-s}}} \leq 1$, $s < 1$ and

$$\int_{E_k} \left| \mathcal{M}_R^{loc} f(x) \right|^p U_k(x) \, dx \, \leq \, C_k^p \, \|f\|^p_{L^p(v)},$$

where

$$E_0 = \{|x| < 1\}, \quad E_k = \{2^{k-1} \leq |x| < 2^k\}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots,$$

and $C_k = c_{s,p}|E_k|^{\frac{1}{s}-1}V_k$, with the constants V_k given by

$$\begin{aligned} V_k =& \left|\left|v^{-\frac{1}{p}}\ \chi_{\{|y|<Rb^k\}}\right|\right|_{p'} = \left|\left|v^{-\frac{1}{p}}\ |(y \vee 1)|^{-2}|(y \vee 1)|^2\ \chi_{\{|y|<R2^k\}}\right|\right|_{p'} \\ \leq& \|v\|_{D_p}\ (R2^k)^2. \end{aligned}$$

In this way, to obtain the bound of $\mathcal{M}_R^{loc}$ it suffices to consider the weight u defined by

$$u(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2^{\gamma k}C_k)^p}\, U_k(x)\chi_{E_k}(x), \tag{3.16}$$

for some $\gamma > 0$ to be determined later.

Given $\sigma < 1$, we first select $s < 1$ such that $\frac{\sigma p'}{p} = \frac{s}{p-s}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u^\sigma\|_{D_p}^{p'} =& \int_{\mathbb{R}} u(y)^{-\frac{\sigma p'}{p}}\ |y|^{-2p'}\, dy\ = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(2^{\gamma k}C_k\right)^{\sigma p'} \int_{E_k} U_k(y)^{-\frac{s}{p-s}}\ |y|^{-2p'}\, dy \\ \leq& c \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(2^{\gamma k}|E_k|^{\frac{1}{s}-1}\, 2^{2k}\right)^{\sigma p'} 2^{-2kp'} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-kp'\left(2(1-\sigma)-\gamma-\frac{1-\sigma}{p'}\right)}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

where in the last inequality we have used the facts that $\|U_k^{-1}\|_{L^{\frac{s}{p-s}}} \leq 1$ and $(\frac{1}{s} - 1)\sigma p' = 1 - \sigma$.

Now, this series is convergent provided that $0 < \gamma < (1-\sigma)\left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right)$.

□

To end this section we give the proof of Theorem 1.3, item (i).

Proof. Let us fix $1 < p < \infty$ and $a > 0$. Let $v \in D_p^{heat}(\Delta_\lambda)$. This means that $||v^{-\frac{1}{p}}\phi_t^\lambda||_{p'} < \infty$, where $\phi_t^\lambda(y)$ is the integrability factor given in proposition 3.1, namely

$$\phi_t^\lambda(y) = y^\lambda \left(\frac{y}{y+1}\right)^\lambda e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t}} \sim (y \wedge 1)^{2\lambda}(y \vee 1)^\lambda e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t}}. \tag{3.18}$$

We need to show that the local maximal operator $W_a^{\lambda,*}$ defined in 2.11 maps $L^p(v)$ to $L^p(u)$ boundedly, for some weight u. Moreover, if $\sigma < 1$ we need to find a weight u such that for all $t > 0$,

$$||u^{-\frac{\sigma}{p}}\phi_t^\lambda||_{p'} < \infty. \tag{3.19}$$

For $f \in L^p(v)$ and $M > 1$ to be chosen later, let us split as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} W_a^{\lambda,*}f(x) \leq& \sup_{0<t<a} \int W_t^\lambda(x,y)f(y)\chi_{\{y\leq Mx\}}(y)d\mu(y) \\ &+ \sup_{0<t<a} \int W_t^\lambda(x,y)f(y)\chi_{\{y>Mx\}}(y)d\mu(y) \\ =& Af(x) + Bf(x). \end{aligned}$$

For $Af(x)$ let us recall Lemma 3.4 and write

$$\begin{aligned} Af(x) \leq& (c\lambda, M)(x \vee 1)^{-2\lambda} \\ & \sup_{0<t<a} \int W^{\triangle}_{C_M t}(x-y) \frac{y^{2\lambda}}{(y+1)^{\lambda}} f(y) \chi_{\{y \leq Mx\}}(y) d(y). \end{aligned}$$

By a standard argument of slicing into dyadic shells, it follows that $Af(x) \leq c(\lambda, M)(x \vee 1)^{-2\lambda} \mathcal{M}^{loc}_M \left(\frac{y^{2\lambda}}{(y+1)^{\lambda}} f \right)(x)$, where $M^{loc}_M f$ is the local maximal function considered in 3.13, extended to $|y| \leq M(|x| \vee 1)$. From Theorem 3.5 item (i), if we set $\sigma_0 = \frac{1}{M^2} < 1$ in the case $T < \infty$, $\widetilde{f} = \frac{y^{2\lambda}}{(y+1)^{\lambda}} f$ and $\tilde{v} = \left(\frac{y^{2\lambda}}{(y+1)^{\lambda}} \right)^{-p} v$, then for all $\sigma \leq \sigma_0 < 1$ there exists a weight $\tilde{u}$ such that

$$||\mathcal{M}^{loc}_M(\widetilde{f})||_{L^p(\tilde{u})} \leq c||\widetilde{f}||_{L^p(\tilde{v})} = c||f||_{L^p(v)}$$

and

$$\left|\left| (\tilde{u})^{-\frac{\sigma}{p}} e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t}} \right|\right|_{p'} < \infty. \tag{3.20}$$

provided that $\left|\left| (\tilde{v})^{-\frac{1}{p}} e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t}} \right|\right|_{p'} < \infty$ for all $t < T$. This is true because $v \in D^{heat}_p(\Delta_\lambda)$.

Now choosing $u_1(x) = (x \wedge 1)^{2\lambda p} \tilde{u}(x)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\left| u_1^{-\frac{\sigma}{p}} \phi^{\lambda}_t \right|\right|_{p'} &= \left|\left| (\tilde{u})^{-\frac{\sigma}{p}} (x \vee 1)^{-\sigma 2\lambda} \phi^{\lambda}_t \right|\right|_{p'} \\ &\leq \left|\left| (\tilde{u})^{-\frac{\sigma}{p}} x^{2(1-\sigma)\lambda} \chi_{\{x \leq 1\}} \right|\right|_{p'} + \left|\left| (\tilde{u})^{-\frac{\sigma}{p}} x^{\lambda} e^{-\frac{x^2}{4t}} \chi_{\{x \geq 1\}} \right|\right|_{p'} \\ &\leq \left|\left| (\tilde{u})^{-\frac{\sigma}{p}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{4t(1+\epsilon)}} \chi_{\{x \geq 1\}} \right|\right|_{p'}. \end{aligned}$$

which is finite in view of (3.20), by choosing ϵ small enought such that $a(1+\epsilon) < T$. This proves that $u_1^{\sigma} \in D^{heat}_p(\Delta_\lambda)$. Clearly, when $T = \infty$, by applying Theorem 3.5 item (i) with $A_0 = 0$ we can choose any $\sigma < 1$ to obtain the same conclusion.

We now estimate Bf. From Lemma 3.4, we get

$$Bf(x) \leq \frac{c(\lambda, M)}{(x \wedge 1)^{\lambda}} \sup_{0<t<a} \int \phi^{\lambda}_{C_M t}(y) \chi_{\{y > Mx\}}(y).$$

Choosing $M > 1$ such that $t_0 = C_M a < T$ when $T < \infty$, and applying Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int \phi_{t_0}^{\lambda}(y)f(y)dy &= \int \phi_{t_0}^{\lambda}(y)v^{-\frac{1}{p}}f(y)v^{\frac{1}{p}}dy \\ &\leq \left(\int \left(\phi_{t_0}^{\lambda}(y)v^{-\frac{1}{p}}\right)^{p'} dy\right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \left(\int f^p(y)v(y)dy\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \left|\left|v^{-\frac{1}{p}}\phi_{t_0}^{\lambda}\right|\right|_{p'} ||f||_{L^p(v)}.\end{aligned}$$

We note that if $T = \infty$, then any $M > 1$ works.

It follows that

$$Bf(x) \leq \frac{c(\lambda, M)}{(x \wedge 1)^{\lambda}}||f||_{L^p(v)} = c(x)||f||_{L^p(v)}.$$

Thus, setting a weight $u_2(x) \leq \frac{1}{c(x)^p(1+x)^p}$, we see that

$$||Bf||_{L^p(u_2)} \leq c||f||_{L^p(v)};$$

and recalling the behaviour of the integrating function ϕ_t^{λ} given in 3.18 we also see that

$$\left|\left|u_2^{-\frac{\sigma}{p}}\phi_t^{\lambda}\right|\right|_{p'} \leq \left|\left|x^{\lambda(2-\sigma)}\chi_{\{x\leq 1\}}\right|\right|_{p'} + \left|\left|(1+x)^{\sigma}x^{\lambda}e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}}\right|\right|_{p'} < \infty$$

for all $t < T$.

The Theorem follows by taking $u(x) = \min\{u_1(x), u_2(x)\}$.

□

4. Conditions on data f for almost everywhere convergence for the Bessel Poisson equation

In this section we focus our attention on the initial value problem for the Poisson equation. Let us start with computing two estimates for the Poisson kernel which will be useful.

Throughout this section, we will consider $\lambda \geq 0$. Recall also that we consider the integrating factor given by

$$\phi^{\lambda}(y) = \frac{y^{2\lambda}}{(y^2+1)^{\lambda+1}} \sim \begin{cases} y^{2\lambda}, & \text{if } y \leq 1 \\ y^{-2}, & \text{if } y > 1. \end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

The first estimate is the same as shown in Proposition 4 of [1], where the proof is based on the expression 2.14 of the kernel and behaviour properties of the hypergeometric functions.

Lemma 4.1. *Given $t > 0$ and $x > 0$ there exists a constant c_λ such that*

$$\frac{c_{\lambda}^{-1}t}{[(x-y)^2+t^2](x^2+y^2+t^2)^{\lambda}} \leq P_t^{\lambda}(x,y) \leq \frac{c_{\lambda}t}{[(x-y)^2+t^2](x^2+y^2+t^2)^{\lambda}}. \tag{4.2}$$

Proof. Recall that, from the explicit expression for the Bessel heat kernel 2.7,

$$\begin{aligned} P_t^\lambda(x,y) =& \frac{t}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{t^2}{4u}} W_u^\lambda(x,y) \frac{du}{u^{\frac{3}{2}}} \\ =& \frac{t}{4\sqrt{\pi}} (xy)^{-\lambda+\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{(x^2+y^2+t^2)}{4u}} I_{\lambda-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{xy}{2u}\right) \frac{du}{u^{\frac{5}{2}}}, \end{aligned}$$

and if we perform the variable change given by $z = \frac{1}{u}$, we have that

$$P_t^\lambda(x,y) = \frac{t}{4\sqrt{\pi}} (xy)^{-\lambda+\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{(x^2+y^2+t^2)}{4} z} I_{\lambda-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{xy}{2} z\right) z^{\frac{1}{2}} dz. \tag{4.3}$$

Thus, if we change variables by $\frac{xy}{2} z \longrightarrow z$,

$$P_t^\lambda(x,y) = c \frac{t}{\alpha^{\lambda+1}} \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{\beta}{\alpha} z} I_{\lambda-1}(z) z^{\frac{1}{2}} dz, \tag{4.4}$$

where $\beta = \frac{x^2+y^2+t^2}{4}$ and $\alpha = \frac{xy}{2}$. Now, if we split the integral in $0 < z < 1$ and $1 < z$ and apply properties 2.8 and 2.9 of the modified Bessel functions, we get that

$$P_t^\lambda(x,y) \sim c \frac{t}{\alpha^{\lambda+1}} \int_0^1 e^{-\frac{\beta}{\alpha} z} z^\lambda dz + c \frac{t}{\alpha^{\lambda+1}} \int_1^\infty e^{-\frac{(\beta-\alpha)}{\alpha} z} dz = I_1 + I_2.$$

Since $\frac{\beta}{\alpha} > 1$, by changing variables according to $v = \frac{\beta}{\alpha} z$, we can write

$$I_1 = c \frac{t}{\beta^{\lambda+1}} \left(\int_0^1 e^{-v} v^\lambda dv + \int_1^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}} e^{-v} v^\lambda dv \right).$$

Since if $1 < v < \frac{\beta}{\alpha}$ then $e^{-v} \le e^{-v} v^\lambda < c_\lambda e^{-\frac{v}{2}}$, it follows that $\int_1^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}} e^{-v} v^\lambda dv \sim c_\lambda$. Thus,

$$I_1 \sim c_\lambda \frac{t}{\beta^{\lambda+1}} = c_\lambda \frac{t}{(x^2+y^2+t^2)^{\lambda+1}}.$$

On the other hand,

$$I_2 = ct \frac{e^{-\frac{\beta-\alpha}{\alpha}}}{\alpha^\lambda(\beta-\alpha)} = c \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^\lambda e^{-\frac{\beta}{\alpha}} \frac{t}{\beta^\lambda(\beta-\alpha)}.$$

It is clear that

$$I_1 + I_2 \le \frac{c_\lambda t}{(x^2+y^2+t^2)^\lambda [(x-y)^2+t^2]}.$$

Now let us see the estimate from below.

$$I_1+I_2=\frac{c_\lambda t}{\beta^\lambda}\left(\left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^\lambda e^{-\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}\frac{1}{\beta-\alpha}+\frac{1}{\beta}\right)=\frac{c_\lambda t}{\beta^\lambda}\frac{\left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^\lambda e^{-\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}+1-\frac{\alpha}{\beta}}{\beta-\alpha}$$

Taking $z=\frac{\beta}{\alpha}>1$, we note that

$$A=z^\lambda e^{-z}+1-z^{-1}\longmapsto 1\quad\text{when } z\longrightarrow\infty\quad.$$

Thus, there exists $N>1$ such that $A>1/2$ for every $z>N$ and clearly $A>(e^{-N}\wedge\frac{1}{2})$. Hence the estimate holds.

□

From this Lemma 4.1 the second estimate follows:

Lemma 4.2. *For $\lambda>0$, $x,y,t>0$ and $M>1$ the following estimate holds*

$$y^{2\lambda}P_t^\lambda(x,y)\le c_{\lambda,M}\left((x\wedge 1)^{-2\lambda}P_t^\triangle(x-y)(y\wedge 1)^{2\lambda}\chi_{y\le M(x\vee 1)}(y)+t\phi_\lambda(y)\right),$$

where $P_t^\Delta(x)=c\frac{t}{t^2+x^2}$ is the classical Poisson kernel.

Proof. From Lemma 4.1, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}y^{2\lambda}P_t^\lambda(x,y)\chi_{y\le M(x\vee 1)}(y)\le&c_\lambda P_t^\Delta(x-y)\frac{y^{2\lambda}}{(x^2+y^2+t^2)^\lambda}\chi_{y\le M(x\vee 1)}(y)\\ \le&c_\lambda(x\wedge 1)^{-2\lambda}P_t^\Delta(x-y)\chi_{y\le M(x\vee 1)}(y\wedge 1)^{2\lambda}.\end{aligned}$$

Now, again from Lemma 4.1, we have that

$$y^{2\lambda}P_t^\lambda(x,y)\chi_{y>M(x\vee 1)}(y)\le\frac{c_\lambda t y^{2\lambda}}{[(x-y)^2+t^2](x^2+y^2+t^2)^\lambda}\chi_{y>M(x\vee 1)}(y).$$

Since $y>M(x\wedge 1)$, hence $y>1$, it follows that $\frac{1}{(x-y)^2+t^2}\le\frac{c_M}{y^2}$ and also $\frac{1}{x^2+y^2+t^2}\le\frac{c_M}{y^2}$. Thus

$$y^{2\lambda}P_t^\lambda(x,y)\chi_{y>M(x\vee 1)}(y)\le c_{\lambda,M}\frac{1}{y^2}\le c_{\lambda,M}\phi_\lambda(y).$$

Thus we get the desired estimate. □

Next we follow the same steps as those for the heat problem: in order to prove Theorem 1.1 in the Poisson context, we need three propositions. First, we need to characterize the Bessel Poisson integral by an integrability factor.

Proposition 4.3. *The following statements are equivalent*

(i) $\int\limits_0^\infty P_t^\lambda(x,y)|f(y)|d\mu_\lambda(y)<\infty$, *for all $t>0$ and $x>0$.*

(ii) $\int\limits_0^\infty P_t^\lambda(x_t,y)|f(y)|d\mu_\lambda(y)<\infty$, *for all $t>0$ and some $x_t>0$.*

(iii) $\int_0^\infty \phi^\lambda(y)|f(y)|dy < \infty$, *where*

$$\phi^\lambda(y) = \frac{y^{2\lambda}}{(y^2+1)^{\lambda+1}}. \tag{4.5}$$

Proof. Observe that (i) trivially implies (ii).

Let us prove that (ii) implies (iii). Fix $t > 0$. We have from estimate 4.2 that for some $x = x_t > 0$,

$$\int_0^\infty P_t^\lambda(x_t,y)|f(y)|d\mu_\lambda(y) \sim c_\lambda \int_0^\infty \frac{ty^{2\lambda}}{[(x-y)^2+t^2](x^2+y^2+t^2)^\lambda}dy < \infty.$$

If $x^2+t^2 \leq 1$, then $y^2+1 \geq x^2+y^2+t^2$. If $x^2+t^2 > 1$, then $y^2+1 \geq \left(\frac{1}{x^2+t^2}\right)y^2+1 = \frac{1}{x^2+t^2}(x^2+y^2+t^2)$. Also, $x^2+y^2+t^2 \geq (x-y)^2+t^2$, thus

$$\frac{(x^2+t^2)\vee 1}{y^2+1} \leq \frac{1}{x^2+y^2+t^2} \leq \frac{1}{(x-y)^2+t^2}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\infty &> \int_0^\infty P_t^\lambda(x_t,y)|f(y)|d\mu_\lambda(y) \geq c_\lambda((x^2+t^2)\vee 1)t\int_0^\infty \frac{y^{2\lambda}}{(y^2+1)^{\lambda+1}}f(y)dy \\ &= c_{\lambda,x,t}\int_0^\infty \phi^\lambda(y)f(y)dy.\end{aligned}$$

We will now show that (iii) implies (i). Take $t > 0$ and $x > 0$. We use estimate 4.2 again.

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^\infty P_t^\lambda(x,y)f(y)d\mu_\lambda(y) \sim c_\lambda\int_0^\infty \frac{ty^{2\lambda}}{[(x-y)^2+t^2](x^2+y^2+t^2)^\lambda}dy \\ &= c_\lambda \int_{y\leq M(x\vee 1)} \frac{ty^{2\lambda}}{[(x-y)^2+t^2](x^2+y^2+t^2)^\lambda}dy \\ &\quad + c_\lambda \int_{y>M(x\vee 1)} \frac{ty^{2\lambda}}{[(x-y)^2+t^2](x^2+y^2+t^2)^\lambda}dy \\ &\quad + c_\lambda \int_{y>M(x\vee 1)} \frac{ty^{2\lambda}}{[(x-y)^2+t^2](x^2+y^2+t^2)^\lambda}dy \\ &= I_1 + I_2,\end{aligned}$$

for any $M > 1$.

Let us consider first I_2. We have that $y > Mx$, and it is easy to see that $\frac{M-1}{M} y \leq |y-x|$. Thus,

$$\left(\frac{M-1}{M}\right)^2 y^2 + t^2 \leq (x-y)^2 + t^2.$$

If $\left(\frac{M-1}{M}\right)^2 \leq t^2$ then $y^2+1 \leq \left(\frac{M}{M-1}\right)^2 [(x-y)^2+t^2]$, and if $\left(\frac{M-1}{M}\right)^2 \geq t^2$ then $y^2+1 \leq \frac{1}{t^2}[(x-y)^2+t^2]$. Hence $y^2+1 \leq \frac{1}{\left(\frac{M-1}{M}\right)^2 \wedge t^2}[(x-y)^2+t^2]$. Again, since $x^2+y^2+t^2 \geq (x-y)^2+t^2$, we have that

$$\frac{1}{(x^2+y^2+t^2)^\lambda [(x-y)^2+t^2]} \leq c_{x,t,M} \frac{1}{(y^2+1)^{\lambda+1}}.$$

As for I_1, it is finite because the function $y \to \frac{y^{2\lambda}}{[(x-y)^2+t^2](x^2+y^2+t^2)^\lambda}$ is continuous on the compact region $y \leq M(x \vee 1)$.

Thus the proof ends. □

Next we will see that under the conditions of the proposition above, the solution is smooth.

Proposition 4.4. *If f satisfies the conditions in Proposition 4.3, then*

$$u(t,x) = \int_0^\infty P_t^\lambda(x,y) f(y) d\mu_\lambda(y) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+). \tag{4.6}$$

Proof. We need to show that for all $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$,

$$\int_0^\infty \left|\partial_t^\alpha \partial_x^\beta P_t^\lambda(x,y)\right| f(y) d\mu(y) < \infty.$$

Since the kernel P_t^λ satisfies the Poisson equation for the Bessel operator, we only need to show that

$$\int_0^\infty |\partial_t P_t^\lambda(x,y)| f(y) d\mu(y) < \infty. \tag{4.7}$$

To differentiate under the integral sign, from expression 4.3 we compute

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t P_t^\lambda(x,y) =& \frac{1}{4\sqrt{\pi}}(xy)^{-\lambda+\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{x^2+y^2+t^2}{4}z} I_{\lambda-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{xy}{2}z\right) z^{\frac{1}{2}} du \\ &+ \frac{t(xy)^{-\lambda+\frac{1}{2}}}{4\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{-2t}{4}z\right) e^{-\frac{t^2}{4}z} e^{-\frac{x^2+y^2}{4}z} I_{\lambda-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{xy}{2}z\right) z^{\frac{1}{2}} dz \\ =& A_t^\lambda(x,y) + B_t^\lambda(x,y).\end{aligned}$$

We have that $A_t^\lambda(x,y) = \frac{1}{t}P_t^\lambda(x,y)$ and

$$B_t^\lambda(x,y) \leq c\frac{t}{4\sqrt{\pi}}(xy)^{-\lambda+\frac{1}{2}} \int\limits_0^\infty e^{-\frac{t^2}{8}z} e^{-\frac{x^2+y^2}{4}z} I_{\lambda-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{xy}{2}z\right) z^{\frac{1}{2}} dz = cP^\lambda_{t/\sqrt{2}}(x,y).$$

Since f satisfies condition (i) of Proposition 4.3, 4.7 follows. □

The last piece of the puzzle is the following proposition.

Proposition 4.5. *If f satisfies the conditions in Proposition 4.3, then*

$$\lim_{t\to 0^+} e^{-t\sqrt{\Delta_\lambda}} f(x) = f(x), \qquad a.e.\ x > 0. \tag{4.8}$$

Proof. As usual, we prove the limit for $x \leq n_0$, for all fixed $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}\mathbb{N}$. Indeed, let us split

$$f = f\chi_{|y|\leq M} + f\chi_{|y|>M} = f_1 + f_2,$$

where $M > 0$ will be chosen.

On one hand, if we consider $M > 2n_0$, we have that from Lemma 4.2, since $2n_0 > 2x$,

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-t\sqrt{\Delta_\lambda}} f_2(x) = & \int\limits_{|y|>M} P_t^\lambda(x,y) f(y) d\mu(y) \\ \leq & c(c_0,\lambda)t \int\limits_{|y|>M} \phi^\lambda(y)\chi_{y>2x}(y) f(y) dy, \end{aligned}$$

hence, from Proposition 4.3, for $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $M_0 = M_0(\epsilon, n_0)$ and $t_0 > 0$ such that if $M > M_0$, $t < t_0$ and $0 < x \leq n_0$, then $e^{-t\sqrt{\Delta_\lambda}} f_2(x) < \epsilon$. On the other hand, again we have that $e^{-t\sqrt{\Delta_\lambda}}$ defines a symmetric diffusion semigroup as in [9] and $f_1 \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+, d\mu)$, therefore

$$\lim_{t\to 0} e^{-t\sqrt{\Delta_\lambda}} f_1(x) = f_1(x)$$

for almost every $|x| \leq n_0$. Thus the proposition follows.

□

Finally we give the proof for Theorem 1.3, item (ii).

Proof. Let us fix $1 < p < \infty$, $\lambda > 0$ and $a > 0$. Let $v \in D_p^{Poisson}(\Delta_\lambda)$. This means that $||v^{-\frac{1}{p}}\phi^\lambda||_{p'} < \infty$.

We need to show that the local maximal operator $P_a^{\lambda,*}$ defined in 2.16 maps $L^p(v)$ to $L^p(u)$ boundedly, for some weight u. Moreover, if $\sigma < 1$ we need to find a weight u such that, $||u^{-\frac{\sigma}{p}}\phi^\lambda||_{p'} < \infty$.

For $f \in L^p(v)$ and $M > 1$ to be chosen later, let us use Lemma 4.2 to obtain the split

$$\begin{aligned} y^{2\lambda} P_a^{\lambda,*} f(x) \leq & c_{\lambda,M}(x \wedge 1)^{-2\lambda} \sup_{0<t<a} \int\limits_{y \leq M(x\vee 1)} P_t^{\triangle}(x-y)(y\wedge 1)^{2\lambda} f(y)dy \\ & + c_{\lambda,M}\, a \int\limits_{y > M(x\vee 1)} \phi^{\lambda}(y) f(y)dy = Af(x) + Bf(x). \end{aligned}$$

Let us consider first $Af(x)$. Using one more time the standard argument of slicing the integral into dyadic shells we get that

$$Af(x) \leq c_{\lambda,M}(x\wedge 1)^{-2\lambda} \mathcal{M}_M^{loc}(\tilde{f}),$$

where $\tilde{f} = (y\wedge 1)^{2\lambda} f(y)$ and $\mathcal{M}_M^{loc}$ is, as before, the local maximal function considered in 3.13, extended to $|y| \leq M(|x| \vee 1)$, namely

$$\mathcal{M}_M^{loc} f(x) = \sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{|B_r|} \int\limits_{B_r(x)} f(y) \chi_{|y|\leq M(x\vee 1)}(y)dy.$$

Then, by using item (ii) of Theorem 3.5, we get that there exists a weight $\tilde{u}_2$ such that

$$||\mathcal{M}_M^{loc}(\widetilde{f})||_{L^p(\tilde{u}_2)} \leq C||\widetilde{f}||_{L^p(\widetilde{v})} = C||f||_{L^p(v)}$$

and

$$\left|\left| \tilde{u}_2^{-\frac{\sigma}{p}} (x\vee 1)^{-2} \right|\right|_{p'} < \infty \qquad \text{for } \sigma < 1, \tag{4.9}$$

provided that

$$\left|\left| \tilde{v}^{-\frac{1}{p}} (y\vee 1)^{-2} \right|\right|_{p'} < \infty, \tag{4.10}$$

where $\tilde{v} = (y\wedge 1)^{-2\lambda p}$. Since $v \in D_p^{Poisson}(\Delta_\lambda)$, (4.10) follows. This implies that Theorem 3.5 holds. Now taking $u_2(x) = \tilde{u}_2(x\wedge 1)^{2\lambda p}$, it follows that

$$||A(f)||_{L^p(u_2)} \leq c||f||_{L^p(v)}$$

and

$$||u_2^{-\frac{\sigma}{p}} \phi^\lambda||_{p'} \leq \left|\left| \tilde{u}_2^{-\frac{\sigma}{p}} (x\wedge 1)^{2\lambda(1-\sigma)} (x\vee 1)^{-2} \right|\right|_{p'} < \infty.$$

For $Bf(x)$ let us apply Hölder inequality to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} Bf(x) \leq & c_{\lambda,M}\, a \int\limits_{y > M(x\vee 1)} \phi^\lambda v^{-\frac{1}{p}} v^{\frac{1}{p}} f(y)dy \\ \leq & c_{\lambda,M}\, a||f||_{L^p(v)} ||v^{-\frac{1}{p}}\phi^\lambda||_{p'}. \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$||B(f)||_{L^p(u_1)} \leq c||f||_{L^p(v)}$$

if we choose

$$u_1(x) = \frac{1}{(1+x)^2}.$$

The Theorem follows by considering a weight

$$u(x) = \min\{u_1(x), u_2(x)\}.$$

□

Acknowledgments. The author thanks Beatriz Viviani for her kind guidance and advice in the development of this work.

References

[1] J. J. Betancor, E. Harboure, A. Nowak, B. Viviani: Mapping properties of fundamental operators in harmonic analysis related to Bessel operators, Studia Math.V. 197 (2010),108-140.
[2] C. Bennet, R. Sharpley: Interpolation of operators, Academic Press, Boston, 1988.
[3] J. García-Cuerva and J.L. Rubio de Francia : Weighted norm inequalities and related topics. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1985.
[4] G. Garrigós, S. Hartzstein, T. Signes, J. L. Torrea, B. Viviani: Pointwise convergence to initial data of heat and Laplace equations, to appear in Trans. AMS.
[5] G. Garrigós, S. Hartzstein, T. Signes, J. L. Torrea, B. Viviani: Pointwise convergence to initial data of heat and Laplace equations in the Laguerre setting, prepint
[6] S. Hartzstein, J. L. Torrea, B. Viviani: A note on the convergence to initial data of Heat and Poisson equation. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 141 (2013), 1323-1333.
[7] N. N. Lebedev: Special functions and their applications. New York, 1972
[8] B. Muckenhaupt, E. Stein: Classical expansions and their relation to conjugate harmonic functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 118, (1965), 17-92.
[9] E. Stein: Topics in harmonic analysis related to the Littlewood-Paley theory; Princeton University Press, 1970.